# Approach for Expanding Triterpenoid Complexity via Divergent Norrish-Yang Photocyclization

Vasily A. Ignatenko and Gregory P. Tochtrop\*

Department of Chemistry, Case Western Reserve Univer[sity](#page-9-0), 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, United States

# **S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-9-0)STRACT: [Triterpenoids](#page-9-0) comprise a very diverse family of polycyclic molecules that is well-known to possess a myriad of medicinal properties. Therefore, triterpenoids constitute an attractive target for medicinal chemistry and diversity-oriented synthesis. Photochemical transformations provide a promising tool for the rapid, green, and inexpensive generation of skeletal diversity in the construction of natural product-like libraries. With this in mind, we have developed a diversity-oriented strategy, whereby the parent triterpenoids bryonolic acid and lanosterol are converted to the pseudosymmetrical polyketones by sequential allylic oxidation and oxidative cleavage of the bridging double bond at the B/C ring fusion. The resultant polyketones were hypothesized to undergo divergent Norrish-



Yang cyclization to produce unique 6/4/8-fused triterpenoid analogues. The subtle differences between parent triterpenoids led to dramatically different spatial arrangements of reactive functionalities. This finding was rationalized through conformational analysis to explain unanticipated photoinduced pinacolization, as well as the regio- and stereochemical outcome of the desired Norrish-Yang cyclization.

# **ENTRODUCTION**

Triterpenoids are a broad and structurally diverse class of natural products primarily derived from the plant kingdom.<sup>1</sup> The triterpenoid family consists of nearly 30 000 members with over 200 unique carbocyclic skeletons.<sup>2,3</sup> Consequentl[y,](#page-9-0) triterpenoids are known to have a wide array of biological activities, including antifungal,<sup>4</sup> anti-in[fl](#page-9-0)[am](#page-9-0)matory,<sup>5</sup> anticancer,<sup>6,7</sup> as well as antiviral<sup>8</sup> and antibacterial properties.<sup>9</sup> This remarkable molecular and medicinal diversity [a](#page-9-0)mong triterp[eno](#page-9-0)ids is achieved thro[ug](#page-9-0)h the variety of squalene an[d](#page-9-0) oxidosqualene cyclases that catalyze these reactions, $10$  as well as through further modification of the carbon skeletons by minor rearrangements including homologation, cleavage, [an](#page-9-0)d degradation (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Selected members of the triterpenoid family. The Received: Hebruary 5, 2<br>Published: April 1, 2013

Considerable efforts in drug discovery have focused on the isolation and structural elucidation of novel triterpenoid molecules from the plant sources.<sup>11−13</sup> Moreover, semisynthetic triterpenoids, created by further manipulation of the exterior functional groups, have bee[n show](#page-9-0)n to enhance the potency of their natural precursors.<sup>14-16</sup> In this regard, the increase in structural complexity of triterpenoid-like molecules through alteration of their carbocy[clic co](#page-9-0)re skeleton can be viewed as a promising tool to study the chemical biology and medicinal chemistry of this natural product family.<sup>17,18</sup>

The use of photochemical transformations for the targetoriented synthesis of complex natural products h[as](#page-9-0) [pro](#page-9-0)ven to be an effective approach.<sup>19,20</sup> The advantages of these reactions are the green and low-cost nature of the reagent, as well as the fact that such transform[ation](#page-9-0)s allow for the rapid construction of strained systems that otherwise would be very difficult to synthesize. However, the use of light as a reagent in diversityoriented synthesis is limited.<sup>21,22</sup> In relation to natural products, a single report by the group of de la Torre $^{23}$  describes an elegant application of a  $[2 + 2]$  $[2 + 2]$  $[2 + 2]$  cycloaddition and Paterno-Buchi reaction to the synthesis of diverse polyc[ycli](#page-9-0)c terpene-like structures from readily available sesquiterpene Sclareolide.

We set out to apply Norrish-Yang photocyclization<sup>24,25</sup> (Figure 2a) to the synthesis of triterpenoid analogues with unique 6/4/8-fused ring systems. The Norrish-Yang rea[ction](#page-9-0)

Received: February 5, 2013

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 2. (a) General mechanism of Norrish-Yang type II photocyclization. (b) Formation of 4/8-fused carbocycle from 1,2-cyclodecanone. (c) Structures and carbon numbering of bryonolic acid and lanosterol.

was chosen because it allows for (1) selective C−C bond formation from unactivated sp<sup>3</sup> -hybridized C−H bonds of saturated hydrocarbons; and (2) formation of particular 4/8 fused carbocycles from cyclodecanone substrates $^{26,27}$  (Figure 2b). Additionally, the 1,2-diketone moiety is known to be an excitable chromophore that can undergo Yang [cycliz](#page-9-0)ation as opposed to the Norrish type II fragmentation.<sup>2</sup>

The application of Yang cyclization to the construction of additional four-membered rings in steroids ha[s bee](#page-9-0)n reviewed by Kamernitskii et al.<sup>34</sup> The diversity-oriented strategy<sup>35,36</sup> which we have envisioned is different from earlier approaches in that the reactive keto g[rou](#page-10-0)ps are strategically positioned w[ithin](#page-10-0) a parent triterpenoid core structure by sequential oxidation reactions. This is followed by the generation of a new ring fusion via regioselective photocyclization.

Here, we report the successful application of this strategy to a pentacyclic triterpenoid, bryonolic acid, and a tetracyclic triterpenoid, lanosterol (Figure 2c). These molecules were chosen due to the unsaturation at the  $B/C$  ring fusion, which allowed for the formation of the desired cyclodecane-1,2-diones by the allylic oxidation and subsequent oxidative cleavage reactions. The resultant ketones were systematically studied for structural elements dictating the regio- and stereoselectivity of the following Norrish-Yang reaction.

#### ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tetraketone 1 was prepared according to our previously published method<sup>18</sup> from doubly protected bryonolic acid in two steps by oxidation of the Δ8,9 double bond with ruthenium tetroxi[de](#page-9-0) under Sharpless conditions. Early analysis of the bichromophoric structure of tetraketone 1 revealed that the "bowsprit" hydrogen H-5 is in γ-position to the keto groups at C-8 and C-11. Thus, photoexcitation of the C-8 carbonyl bond could lead to the formation of cyclobutanol 2 via pathway b, and concurrently, photoexcitation of C-11 carbonyl would result in the formation of cyclobutanol 3 via pathway a (Table

1). When this rationale was taken into account, a solution of tetraketone 1 in dry deoxygenated  $C_6D_6$  was irradiated in a

#### Table 1. Norrish-Yang Reactivity of Tetraketone 1



quartz cuvette with 254 nm UV light until complete consumption of the starting material was detected by TLC (45 min, Table 1, entry 1). Further investigation of the reaction mixture showed the formation of two products, which were separable by column chromatography on silica.

The study of the NMR spectra of the major reaction product revealed an AX system of two doublets at 3.40 and 2.75 ppm (J = 12 Hz) attached to isolated methylene carbon at 41.2 ppm. These protons correlate, as observed by HMBC, with two carbonyl carbons (C-7,  $\delta_C$  210.0; C-8,  $\delta_C$  211.5), as well as with four quaternary carbons (C-4,  $\delta_C$  43.8; C-5,  $\delta_C$  56.9; C-10,  $\delta_C$ 62.3; C-11,  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 92.2). These correlations allowed the assignment of the AX system to position 6 of the cyclobutanol 3 and ruled out structure 2, in which H-6 could only be correlated to one carbonyl carbon by HMBC spectroscopy. Further investigation of the structure of cyclobutanol 3 disclosed an AX system of two doublets at 2.25 and 1.73 ppm  $(J = 18 \text{ Hz})$ , attached to another isolated methylene carbon C-12 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 42.4). In the HMBC spectrum, H-12 showed cross-peaks with one carbonyl carbon (C-9,  $\delta_C$  218.9), as well as one methine carbon (C-18,  $\delta_C$  47.0), one methyl carbon (C-27,  $\delta_C$  19.0), and four quaternary carbons (C-5, C-11, C-13,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  40.6; C-14,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  55.4). Consequently, the NMR and the HRMS data were consistent with the proposed structural framework of cyclobutanol 3 (Figure 3).

The routine NMR spectra of the minor reaction product were in[co](#page-2-0)nsistent with a structure of a product of Yang cyclization of tetraketone 1. Specifically, examination of  $^{13}C$ NMR spectrum revealed the signals of two carbonyl carbons (C-8,  $\delta_C$  212.6; C-9,  $\delta_C$  212.4), as well as three quaternary carbons (C-5,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  90.6; C-7,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  90.3; C-11,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  77.3) in the region downfield from 50 ppm. Extensive 2D NMR experiments allowed us to propose structure 4 for the minor product of the reaction. Especially useful were the cross-peaks shown by two doublets at 2.69 and 1.88 ppm (H-6,  $J = 14$  Hz), attached

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 3. Key HMBC  $(^1H$  to  $^{13}C)$  and COSY correlations of cyclobutanol 3.

to an isolated methylene carbon C-6 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 39.8). In the HMBC spectrum, H-6 correlated to C-5, C-7, C-8, as well as C-10 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 48.7) and C-11. Additionally, the correlations of two doublets at 2.20 and 1.97 ppm (H-12) in the HMBC spectrum supported the elucidation of the carbon framework of structure 4 by showing the cross-peaks with C-7, C-9, C-11, as well as C-13 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 40.4), C-14 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 52.5), C-18 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 44.3), and C-27 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 22.3) (Figure 4). The structural assignment was also supported by HRMS data.



Figure 4. Key HMBC ( ${}^{1}H$  to  ${}^{13}C)$  and COSY correlations of structure 4.

After elucidation of the structures of the reaction products, the yields of cyclobutanol 3 and the minor product of the photolysis 4 were found to be 33 and 10%, respectively (Table 1, entry 1). The changing of the light sources did not lead to substantial difference in product distribution; however, the [h](#page-1-0)ighest combined yield of 3 and 4 was achieved with a 300 nm UV lamp (76%, Table 1, entry 2). It is noteworthy that no products of the type II photofragmentation were observed in any reactions performed.

To gain insight int[o](#page-1-0) the regio- and, more importantly, stereochemical outcome of the Yang cyclization of tetraketone 1, we next examined a plausible mechanism for the process. It has been determined by the Scheffer group37−<sup>39</sup> that, in order for a ketone to undergo successful Norrish type II hydrogen abstraction reaction, the distance between [the o](#page-10-0)xygen of the carbonyl and the γ-H has to be less than the sum of van der Waals radii for the H and O (2.72 Å). Using B3LYP/6-  $311G(d,p)$  calculated geometry of tetraketone 1, we determined that only the C-11 keto group met this requirement  $(d_1 = 2.29$  Å, Figure 5), while the carbonyl at C-8 exceeded the suggested distance ( $d_2$  = 2.95 Å). Consequently, irradiation of tetraketone 1 led exclusively to the formation of  $1(C-5)$ ,  $4(C-$ 11)-hydroxy biradical, which is geometrically predisposed to cyclize into cis-cyclobutanol 3. The intermediate hydroxy biradical can concurrently undergo photopinacolization<sup>40−43</sup>





Figure 5. Mechanistic considerations for the formation of 3 and 4.

with the C-7 carbonyl leading to a C-5,O-7-centered biradical, which presumably can experience intermolecular H-exchange, followed by a ring closure to form bridged structure 4 (Figure 5).

The mechanistic rationale of the reaction is in complete agreement with the observed stereochemistry of the products 3 and 4, as determined by NOE correlation spectroscopy (Figure 6), demonstrating memory of chirality effect, whereby the chiral information of the tetraketone 1 is retained by the [co](#page-3-0)nformation of the biradical intermediate.44−<sup>47</sup>

In the NOESY spectrum of cyclobutanol 3,  $H_\beta$ -6 correlates [with H](#page-10-0)-25 and H-24 while H<sub>a</sub>-6 correlates with H-23 and H-27, and the NOE interactions of  $H_g$ -12 with H-25 and H-26 determine  $\alpha$ -facial orientation of the hydroxyl group at C-11. In the case of the bridged structure 4,  $H_{\alpha}$ -6 correlates with H-24 and H-27 while H<sub>β</sub>-6 correlates with H-25, as well as with the C7−OH hydroxyl group, which, in turn, shows an interaction with H-26. This provided evidence for the  $\beta$ -facial orientation of this hydroxyl group.

In an effort to force Yang cyclization into the alternative direction to form cyclobutanol 6, we took triketone 5 as a substrate for the photoreaction. The synthesis of triketone 5 by direct oxidation of doubly protected bryonolic acid with ruthenium tetroxide under Sharpless conditions was previously reported by our group.<sup>18</sup> The structure of triketone  $5$  preserves the main structural features of tetraketone 1 but lacks the keto group at C-11 (Table [2\)](#page-9-0).

The computed distance between H-5 and the oxygen of the C-8 carbonyl of trike[to](#page-3-0)ne 5 is 2.56 Å, suggesting the high possibility of the desired transformation. In addition, the 1(C-7),2(C-8)-dione system becomes the major chromophore of the polyketone. Accordingly, irradiation of triketone 5 with 254 nm UV light for 72 h yielded a single reaction product (6% isolated yield, Table 2, entry 1). However, the structure of the product of the photolysis of triketone 5 was formulated as 7 on the basis of a thorough NMR investigation (Figure 7).

The  $^{13}$ C NMR sp[ec](#page-3-0)trum of 7 showed the presence of one carbonyl carbon C-8 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 212.9), as well as ne[wly](#page-3-0) formed quaternary carbons C-7 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 87.3) and C-9 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 78.9), and a methylene carbon C-26 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 72.6) in the fingerprint region. This observation alone excludes structure 6, which would be expected to have two signals of the ketone groups in the  $^{13}$ C spectrum. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 7 exhibited a pair of doublets at 4.29 and 4.04 ppm  $(J = 10 \text{ Hz})$ , corresponding to a methylene carbon C-26 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 72.6). In the HMBC spectrum, H-26 showed cross-peaks with C-7, C-8, C-13 ( $\delta_c$  39.7), C-14 ( $\delta_c$  53.9), and a methylene carbon C-15 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 25.6). Structural assignment was completed by further analysis of cross-peaks shown by H-5, H-11, and H-25 (Figure 7), as well as HRMS data.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 6. Key NOESY correlations of compounds 3 and 4.







Figure 7. Key HMBC ( $\rm ^1H$  to  $\rm ^{13}C)$  and COSY correlations of structure 7.

The formation of structure 7 was not anticipated. Presumably, the initial photoexcitation of the C-7 keto group is followed by a new carbon−carbon bond formation via pinacol-type coupling reaction with the C-9 carbonyl. The resulting oxygen-centered biradical intermediate, formation of which is commonly associated with the photochemical cleavage of cyclic peroxides,<sup>48-50</sup> undergoes abstraction of  $\varepsilon$ -hydrogen H-26 by the oxygen of the C-9 carbonyl  $(d_2 = 2.31 \text{ Å})$ , followed by a radical cyclizat[ion p](#page-10-0)rocess to form a furan ring (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Mechanistic considerations for the formation of 7.

The changing of the light source did not significantly improve the efficiency of this reaction; however, almost complete conversion of the starting material was achieved by the use of benzophenone in combination with a 300 nm UV light (Table 2, entry 4). Low efficiency and long reaction times of the photolyses of triketone 5 are caused, perhaps, by the known reversibility of light-induced pinacolization and hydrogen-transfer processes.

The relative stereochemistry of 7 was confirmed by NOESY spectroscopy, which showed enhancements between the hydroxyl group at C-9 with H<sub>β</sub>-26, H-25, and H<sub>β</sub>-12. H<sub>β</sub>-12 in turn showed correlations with H-18 and H<sub>β</sub>-26, while H<sub>α</sub>-26 showed a cross-peak with  $H_{\beta}$ -16 (Figure 9). These NOESY data have determined the β-facial environment of the newly formed rings B and C.



Figure 9. Key NOESY correlations of compound 7.

Having established the reactivity patterns of the polyketones derived from bryonolic acid, we then turned our attention to the tetracyclic triterpenoid lanosterol. We have previously found that the subtle structural differences between bryonolic acid and lanosterol led to dramatic differences in the aldol reactivity of the polyketones derived from these molecules.<sup>18</sup> In this regard, we set out to predict using conformational analysis

<span id="page-4-0"></span>and further confirm the possibility of the formation of the alternative product of Yang cyclization via pathway b from lanosterol-derived polyketones 14 and 17.

The oxidation chemistry leading to tetraketone 14 was next investigated. After initial protection of the hydroxyl group at C-3 of lanosterol 8 to generate acetate 9, the Δ24,25 double bond of lanosterol acetate 9 was selectively oxidized according to the method developed by Reshetova et al.<sup>51</sup> The use of  $\text{KMnO}_4$ and NaIO<sub>4</sub> in aqueous tert-BuOH at 60  $^{\circ}$ C to rt for 15 h, followed by treatment of the resultan[t c](#page-10-0)arboxylic acids with freshly prepared diazomethane in dry THF for 30 min, gave the desired methyl ester 10 in 65% yield, accompanied by  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated ketones 11 and 12 and enedione 13 in 9, 7, and 4% yield, respectively. It is noteworthy that the formation of  $\alpha_i\beta$ unsaturated ketone 12 was not observed by Reshetova et al. The ester 10 was further oxidized with  $KMnO<sub>4</sub>$  and 18-crown-6 in aqueous DCM at rt to furnish enedione 13 in 78% yield after 24 h. Finally, tetraketone 14 was prepared from enedione 13 by catalytic oxidation with ruthenium tetroxide under Sharpless conditions.<sup>52</sup> The use of RuCl<sub>3</sub> with NaIO<sub>4</sub> as a stoichiometric reoxidant in the mixture of  $CCl<sub>4</sub>$ , acetonitrile, and water gave the desire[d p](#page-10-0)roduct 14 in 87% yield after 15 h (Scheme 1).





A literature search revealed that Marsaioli and co-workers have previously studied the aldol reactivity of a similar tetraketone derived from 24,25-dihydrolanosterol.<sup>53,54</sup> On the basis of the analysis of  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra, the authors have suggested that the tetraketone existed as a m[ixtur](#page-10-0)e of two conformational isomers. Rigorous verification of this suggestion was critical to our analysis and prediction of photochemical behavior of the tetraketone 14. Therefore, we conducted a variable-temperature NMR study of tetraketone 14, which indeed was isolated as a mixture of two products (ca. 2.5:1 at 303.15 K), inseparable by various chromatographic means  $(Figure 10)$ .

 ${}^{1}$ H signals were assigned by the analysis of COSY, HMQC, and HMBC spectra of tetraketone 14. <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiments carried out in toluene- $d_8$  at 0 °C revealed that the H-3 signal exists as a doublet of doublets at 4.82 ppm  $(J_1 = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, J_2 =$ 4.2 Hz) and a doublet of doublets at 4.60 ppm  $(J_1 = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, J_2)$  $= 3.6$  Hz); H-12 splits into two doublets at 3.54 ppm ( $J = 13.2$ ) Hz) and 3.48 ppm  $(J = 13.8 \text{ Hz})$ ; H-26 shows two singlets at 3.42 and 3.40 ppm, while H-5 appears as a doublet of doublets at 3.21 ppm  $(J_1 = J_2 = 3.6 \text{ Hz})$  and a doublet of doublets at 3.03 ppm  $(J_1 = 15.6 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 9.6 \text{ Hz})$ . With increasing temperature,



Figure 10. Variable-temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR study of tetraketone 14.

all peaks broaden, and at temperatures at and above 80 °C, the doubled peaks merge and only time-average resonances are observed. We argue that the coalescence of the doubled peaks at higher temperatures confirms that tetraketone 14 exists as an equilibrium mixture of two conformational isomers. Lowering the temperature to −30 °C does not lead to any difference in the line shapes, indicating that the conformational equilibrium has reached the slow exchange rate.

On the basis of the empirical calculations using the torsional angles in the 10-membered ring, Marsaioli and co-workers suggested the structures of the four possible conformers of the lanosterol-derived cyclodecatetraone (Figure 11). More accu-



Figure 11. Structures of the possible conformers of tetraketone 14.

rate energy minimization calculations<sup>59</sup> of the tetraketone 14 at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory disclosed that the lowest energy conformers CCC (c[hai](#page-10-0)r−chair−chair) and TB (twist-boat)-1 are almost isoenergetic. Therefore, the energy difference of 0.44 kcal/mol between CCC and TB-1 would correspond well to the equilibrium mixture of two conformers in ca. 2.1:1 ratio at 303.15 K. The assignment of the structures of conformers CCC and TB-1 was further supported by comparison of the experimental J values for H-5 of both conformers with the J values predicted using the dihedral angles of −114° (TB-1, H<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6a</sub>), 128° (TB-1, H<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6β</sub>), −73° (CCC,  $H<sub>S</sub>H<sub>6\alpha</sub>$ ), and 168° (CCC,  $H<sub>S</sub>H<sub>6\beta</sub>$ ), which were determined with

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Next, we examined the photochemical reactivity of the bichromophoric structure 14. Analysis of the less populated conformational isomer CCC (Figure 11) revealed that the "bowsprit" γ-hydrogen H-5 is anti-periplanar with C-8 and C-11 keto groups. Disfavorable orientatio[n o](#page-4-0)f reactive functionalities led to a conclusion that CCC must be unreactive in the desired Norrish-Yang photocyclization. On the contrary, examination of the more populated conformer TB-1 revealed that H-5 is antiperiplanar with the  $\pi$ -bond of C-8 carbonyl, but syn-periplanar with the C-11 keto group (Figure 12). Thus,



Figure 12. Mechanistic considerations for the formation of cyclobutanol 15.

favorable spatial position of H-5 and the C-11 carbonyl allowed for the prediction of regiospecific formation of the  $1(C-5)$ ,  $4(C-$ 11)-hydroxy biradical, which would be structurally biased to cyclize into cis-cyclobutanol 15 via pathway a. In addition, the distance between H-5 and the oxygen of the C-8 carbonyl of TB-1 was determined to be 2.27 Å, increasing the likelihood of the desired reaction. Concomitantly, disfavorable spatial arrangement of H-5 and  $Cs = O$  should exclude the formation of cyclobutanol 16 via pathway b (Table 3).



In complete agreement with this rationale, irradiation of the solution of tetraketone 14 in dry deoxygenated  $C_6D_6$  in a quartz cuvette with 254 nm UV light led to the formation of the sole product 15 in 48% yield after complete consumption of the starting material in 20 h. The change of the light source to a 300 nm UV lamp led to a shorter reaction time; however, cyclobutanol 15 was isolated in only 27% yield. The addition of 1.0 equiv of benzophenone significantly improved the efficiency of the reaction, affording the highest yield of cyclobutanol 15 (66%, Table 3, entry 4). The structure of 15 was elucidated

unambiguously after comprehensive analysis of 2D NMR experiments and HRMS data (see Supporting Information). It is noteworthy that no other products were observed during the photolysis.

Our attention was then turned t[oward](#page-9-0) [the](#page-9-0) [oxidation](#page-9-0) [reac](#page-9-0)tion leading to triketone 17 (Scheme 2). In due course, when

## Scheme 2. Oxidation of  $\Delta 8.9$  of  $\alpha,\beta$ -Unsaturated Ketone 11



treated with our modified ruthenium conditions,  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketone 11 underwent competing cleavage of the  $\Delta 8.9$ double bond to give the desired triketone 17 and regioselective allylic oxidation at C-11 to furnish enedione 13, which was further oxidized in the course of the reaction to yield tetraketone 14 as a minor reaction product. It is noteworthy that the dominating formation of aldol adduct 18 proved to be unavoidable during column chromatography of the crude reaction mixture on silica.

Conformational analysis of triketone 17 revealed that, despite the fact that the keto group at C-8 is in  $\gamma$ -position to H-5, the C-8 carbonyl is anti-periplanar with the C-5−H bond (Figure 13). This observation led to a conclusion that, analogously with



Figure 13. Mechanistic considerations for the formation of product  $20<sub>0</sub>$ 

the CCC conformer of tetraketone 14, a prediction can be made that triketone 17 must be unreactive in the desired Norrish-Yang photocyclization and cyclobutanol 19 cannot be formed. However, the possibility of transannular photopinacolization remains plausible for triketone 17. By direct comparison with triketone 5, the excitation of the carbonyl at C-7 can be followed by the formation of oxygen-centered biradical. On the basis of the argument of closer spatial proximity,  $\varepsilon$ -hydrogen H-30 may then be abstracted by the oxygen of the C-9 carbonyl (Figure 13,  $d_2 = 2.42$  Å), followed by the formation of a furan ring with the oxygen of C-7 carbonyl.

As a result, irradiation of the solution of triketone 17 in dry deoxygenated  $C_6D_6$  in a quartz cuvette with 254 nm UV light for 24 h led to the exclusive formation of furan 20 in 18% isolated yield (41% conversion, Table 4, entry 1), and no other products of the reaction were observed. The change of the  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ alone did not enhance the efficiency [of](#page-6-0) the reaction; however,

#### Table 4. Photoreactivity of Triketone 17

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

the use of 1.0 equiv of benzophenone with 300 nm UV light yielded photoproduct 20 in 25% isolated yield (82% conversion, Table 4, entry 3). The structure of furan 20 was confirmed after extensive 2D NMR study and by HRMS data (see Supporting Information).

## ■ [CONCLUSION](#page-9-0)

Here we have devised and successfully executed a DOS strategy, whereby the substrates for divergent Norrish-Yang photocyclization were prepared from parent triterpenoids, bryonolic acid and lanosterol, by sequential allylic oxidation and oxidative cleavage reactions. Irradiation of bryonolic acidderived tetraketone 1 brought a new 6/6/6-fused structural type 4 to the resultant chemical library of triterpenoid analogues by virtue of an unanticipated photopinacolization. The unexpected structure 4 was accompanied by the expected formation of 6/4/8-fused product of Yang cyclization 3 via pathway a. The use of bryonolic acid-derived triketone 5 to force Yang cyclization into pathway b led to predominant pinacol-type coupling reaction to give 6/5/7-fused structure 7, despite the desirable arrangement of the bowsprit H-5 and the keto group at C-8. The subtle differences between the parent triterpenoids resulted in completely different conformational preferences of the polyketones and, concomitantly, completely different spatial orientations of the reactive functionalities. Consequently, Norrish-Yang photocyclization of lanosterolderived polyketones 14 and 17 via pathway b was proven to be unfeasible, but the pinacol-type coupling reaction of triketone 17 was proven to be predictable. Thus, under our irradiation conditions, tetraketone 14 formed a single photocycloadduct 15 via pathway a, while triketone 17 yielded the anticipated product of photopinacolization 20.

We would argue that these findings constitute a general approach, whereby the identification of substrate-dictated conformational preferences of similar substrates will allow for a reliable prediction of the outcome of Norrish-Yang type II photocyclization, as well as the feasibility of transannular photoinduced pinacol-type coupling reaction. Moreover, we hope that this study will inspire the development of the divergent strategies for the synthesis of polycyclic compounds from other natural product families to screen against different biological targets.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

(2R,4aS,6aS,9aS,11S,13aS,16aS,16bR)-Methyl-11-acetoxy-2,4a,6a,10,10,13a,16a-heptamethyl-7,8,14,15-tetraoxodocosahydrobenzo[6,7]cyclodeca[1,2-a]naphthalene-2-carboxylate (1). Tetraketone 1 was prepared from bryonolic acid in four steps by a previously published method<sup>18</sup> (74 mg, 14% overall yield). Identity of **1** was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR. Purity of 1 was determined by  ${}^{1}H$  NMR. TLC, and mn. Physical and spectroscopic data were found <sup>1</sup>H NMR, TLC, and mp. P[hys](#page-9-0)ical and spectroscopic data were found to match literature  $18$  data.

General Procedure for Photolyses of Polyketones 1, 5, 14, **and 17.** A solutio[n o](#page-9-0)f a specified polyketone (20 mg) in dry  $C_6D_6$  (0.6 mL) in a 4 mL rectangular quartz cuvette  $(0.1 \text{ cm} \times 0.1 \text{ cm} \times 0.4 \text{ cm})$ was deoxygenated by purging with dry argon gas for 15 min while stirring. When specified, benzophenone (1.0 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. A cuvette was then sealed with a Teflon stopper and placed in the middle of a chamber photoreactor (10 cm distance from the light source), equipped with six UV lamps (8 W each, specified  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ ) and a cooling fan. Solutions were irradiated at rt for a specified amount of time, until specified polyketone was consumed or decomposition was observed, as determined by either TLC or <sup>1</sup>H NMR. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, photoproducts 3, 4, 7, 15, and 20 were isolated by column chromatography on silica with specified ethyl acetate−hexanes mixtures.

**Preparation of 3 and 4.** Table 1 (entry 1). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 1 (0.035 mmol) and 254 nm UV light for 45 min gave 3 (7 mg, 33%) and 4 (2 mg, 10%).

Table 1 (entry 2). Following the [g](#page-1-0)eneral procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 1 (0.035 mmol) and 300 nm UV light for 90 min gave [3](#page-1-0) (12.5 mg, 63%) and 4 (2.6 mg, 13%).

Table 1 (entry 3). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 1 (0.035 mmol) and 350 nm UV light for 135 min gave [3](#page-1-0) (6 mg, 30%) and 4 (1 mg, 5%).

Table 1 (entry 4). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 1 (0.035 mmol), benzophenone (6.4 mg, 0.035 mmol), and 300 nm UV light for 45 min gave 3 (11.2 mg, 56%) and 4 (0.1 mg, [<](#page-1-0)1%).

(2aS,5R,6aR,6bS,7aR,8aS,11S,12aR,15aS)-Methyl-11-acetoxy-7a-hydroxy-2a,5,6b,8a,12,12,15a-heptamethyl-8,14,15 trioxoicosahydro-1H-benzo[1′,4′]cyclobuta[1′,2′:6,7]cyclo**octa[1,2-a]naphthalene-5-carboxylate (3).** Transparent oil.  $R_f =$ 0.37 (EA/hex = 3/7). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 5.70 (dd,  $J_1$  =  $J_2 = 9$  Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.40 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (br s, 1H), 2.75 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (d, J = 18 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (ddd,  $J_1 = J_2 = 14.4$  Hz,  $J_3 = 4.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.73 (d, J = 18 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 0.95 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 218.9 (CO), 211.5 (CO), 210.0 (CO), 178.7 (CO), 170.4 (CO), 92.2 (COH), 72.4 (CH), 62.3 (C), 56.9 (C), 55.4 (C), 52.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 47.0 (CH), 43.8 (C), 42.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 41.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 40.7 (C), 40.6 (C), 35.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 32.7 (C), 31.22 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 31.20 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.5  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 23.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> 595.3247, found 595.3236.

(2R,4aS,6aS,7aS,8aR,10S,12aS,13aR,14aS,14bR)-Methyl-10 acetoxy-7a-hydroxy-2,4a,6a,9,9,12a,14a-heptamethyl-7,13 dioxoicosahydro-8a,13a-epoxybenzo[a]tetracene-2-carboxy**late (4).** Transparent oil.  $R_f = 0.32$  (EA/hex = 3/7). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.81 (dd,  $J_1 = 10.8$  Hz,  $J_2 = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.69 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (d, 15 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 3H), 0.77 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 212.6 (CO), 212.4 (CO), 178.6 (CO), 170.6 (CO), 90.6 (C), 90.3 (C), 77.3 (C), 76.5 (CH), 52.7 (C), 51.6 (CH3), 48.7 (C), 44.3 (CH), 40.4 (C), 40.4 (C), 39.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 38.5 (C), 35.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 31.5  $(CH_3)$ , 31.3 (C), 31.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.91 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.87 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{33}H_{48}O_8Na^+$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 595.32414, found 595.32423.

(2R,4aS,6aS,9aS,11S,13aS,16aS,16bR)-Methyl-11-acetoxy-2,4a,6a,10,10,13a,16a-heptamethyl-7,8,14-trioxodocosahydrobenzo[6,7]cyclodeca[1,2-a]naphthalene-2-carboxylate (5). Triketone 5 was prepared from bryonolic acid in three steps by a previously published method<sup>18</sup> (184 mg, 23% overall yield). Identity of 5 was confirmed by  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR and HRMS. Purity of 5 was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, [TLC](#page-9-0), and mp. Physical and spectroscopic data were found to match literature<sup>18</sup> data.

Preparation of 7. Table 2 (entry 1). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of [tri](#page-9-0)ketone 5 (0.036 mmol) and 254 nm UV light for 72 h yielded an inseparable mixture (10 mg) of 7 (1.4 mg, [1](#page-3-0)2% brsm, determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR) and unreacted 5 (8.6 mg, 57% conversion, determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR).  $R_f = 0.4$  (EA/hex = 3/7).

Table 2 (entry 2). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 5 (0.036 mmol) and 300 nm UV light for 72 h yielded an inseparable mixture (8.3 mg) of 7 (2.1 mg, 15% brsm, determin[ed](#page-3-0) by  $^{1}H$  NMR) and unreacted 5 (6.2 mg, 69% conversion, determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR).  $R_f = 0.4$  (EA/hex = 3/7).

Table 2 (entry 3). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 5 (0.036 mmol) and 350 nm UV light for 72 h yielded u[nr](#page-3-0)eacted 5 (20 mg, 0% conversion).  $R_f = 0.4$  (EA/hex = 3/7).

Table 2 (entry 4). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 5 (0.036 mmol), benzophenone (6.5 mg, 0.036 mmol), and 300 nm UV light for 72 h yielded 7 (7.8 mg, 39%).  $R_f =$ 0.4 (EA/[h](#page-3-0)ex =  $3/7$ ).

Table 2 (entry 5). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 5 (0.036 mmol), benzophenone (6.5 mg, 0.036 mmol), and 254 nm UV light for 72 h yielded an inseparable mixture  $(8.2 \text{ mg})$  $(8.2 \text{ mg})$  of 7  $(2.5 \text{ mg}, 18\% \text{ brsm}, \text{ determined by } ^{1}H \text{ NMR})$  and unreacted 5 (5.7 mg, 72% conversion, determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR).  $R_f$  = 0.4 (EA/hex =  $3/7$ ).

(2R,4aS,6aS,8aS,9aS,11S,13aS,13bR,15aS,15bR)-Methyl-11 acetoxy-13b-hydroxy-2,4a,10,10,13a,15a-hexamethyl-16 oxoicosahydro-6a,8a-methanoindeno[2,1-b]naphtho[1,2-f ] oxocine-2-carboxylate (7). Analytically pure 7 was obtained by the following procedure. A round-bottom flask open to atmosphere was charged with basic alumina (365 mg, 3.58 mmol), followed by the addition of DCM (0.5 mL). A mixture (20 mg), containing 5 (17.2 mg, 0.031 mmol) and 7 (2.8 mg, 5  $\mu$ mol) as determined by  $^{\mathrm{I}}\mathrm{H}$  NMR, was dissolved in DCM (0.5 mL) and subsequently added to the resulting suspension. The flask was sealed with a glass stopper and vigorously stirred at rt overnight, at which time the suspension was filtered over a fine sinter funnel and washed successively with ethyl acetate. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the column chromatography on silica yielded 7 as a transparent oil (2 mg, 71% recovery).  $R_f = 0.38$  (EA/hex = 25/75). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.51 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 11.4 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (d,  $J = 9.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.07 (br s, 1H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 212.9 (CO), 179.3 (CO), 171.1 (CO), 87.3 (C), 80.5 (CH), 78.9 (C), 72.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 53.9  $(C)$ , 52.0  $(CH_3)$ , 48.3  $(CH)$ , 47.4  $(C)$ , 46.7  $(CH)$ , 40.7  $(C)$ , 39.7  $(C)$ , 37.3 (C), 36.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 34.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.8 (C), 31.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 30.96 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.90 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.1  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 29.0  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 25.6  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 25.3  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 21.4  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 17.5  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 17.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{33}H_{50}O_7Na^4$ [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 581.34488, found 581.34503. The starting material 5 reacted with basic alumina to give the corresponding product of aldol addition. This product of aldol addition retained on silica during column chromatography due to the presence of the hydroxyl group and was not isolated. The synthesis, purification, and structural analysis of the product of aldol addition of 5 were previously studied by our group.18

(3S,5R,10S,13R,14R,17R)-4,4,10,13,14-Pentamethyl-17-((R)- 6-me[th](#page-9-0)ylhept-5-en-2-yl)-2,3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17 tetradecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-3-yl acetate (9). In a round-bottom flask, lanosterol 8 (10g, 23.4 mmol; 60% in the mixture with 24,25-dihydrolanosterol, purchased from various commercial sources) and DMAP (143 mg, 1.17 mmol) were dissolved in 47 mL of dry pyridine. Acetic anhydride (7.18 g, 70.3 mmol, 6.6 mL) was added to the resulting solution by a quick syringe transfer.

The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C overnight, after which time pyridine was removed at reduced pressure and the crude mixture was taken up in DCM. The organic layer was washed successively with dilute HCl, saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate, and brine. It was subsequently dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to give 9 (60% in the mixture with 24,25-dihydrolanosterol acetate) as a white solid (9.7 g, 88%).  $R_f = 0.55$  (EA/hex = 10/90). Identity and purity of 9 were confirmed by  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR. Spectroscopic data were found to match literature<sup>55</sup> data.

Preparation of 10−13. Using a modified literature protocol,<sup>51</sup> lanosterol acetate 9 (10 g, 60% in the mixture with 24,25 dihydrolanosterol [ac](#page-10-0)etate, 12.8 mmol) was dissolved in 1 L of te[rt](#page-10-0)-BuOH at 60 °C, followed by the addition of  $K_2CO_3$  (10g, 72.4 mmol). Meanwhile, a solution of  $KMnO<sub>4</sub>$  (1.42 g, 9 mmol) and NaIO<sub>4</sub> (24.1 g, 0.113 mol) in 800 mL of  $H_2O$  was heated to 60 °C and subsequently added to the resulting suspension in one portion. The flask was removed from heat, and the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt and stirred at rt overnight, at which time the reaction was interrupted by the addition of saturated solution of sodium thiosulfate until complete discoloration was observed (about 250 mL). After the organic layer was separated and the solvent removed in vacuo, the crude residue was acidified with dilute HCl and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed successively with water and brine. It was subsequently dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude mixture of products was separated by gradient elution column chromatography on silica with ethyl acetate−hexanes mixtures. The use of hexanes as an eluting solvent yielded pure 24,25 dihydrolanosterol acetate as a white solid (3.36 g, 84%).  $R_f = 0.75$ (EA/hex = 20/80). Mp: 118−120 °C (lit.<sup>56</sup> mp 120−121 °C). Elution with progressively more polar combinations of eluting solvent, followed by the use of ethyl acetate a[s a](#page-10-0)n eluting solvent, gave a crude mixture (6.5 g) of carboxylic acids. In a round-bottom flask open to atmosphere, the mixture of carboxylic acids (6.5 g) was dissolved in 50 mL of freshly distilled THF. Freshly prepared diazomethane in diethyl ether (15 mmol) was added dropwise to the resulting solution by a pipet, and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt until full conversion was detected by TLC (approximately 30 min). The reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of glacial acetic acid until the yellow color of the solution disappeared. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude mixture of products was separated by careful column chromatography on silica to yield 10 as a white solid (3.9 g, 65%), 11 as a white solid (610 mg, 9%), 12 as a white solid (420 mg, 7%), and 13 as a yellow solid (270 mg, 4%).

(R)-Methyl-4-((3S,5R,10S,13R,14R,17R)-3-acetoxy-4,4,10,13,14-pentamethyl-2,3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17 tetradecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17-yl) **pentanoate (10).** Mp: 174–177 °C (lit.<sup>51</sup> mp 226–227 °C, lit.<sup>57</sup> mp 174−176 °C).  $R_f = 0.58$  (EA/hex = 20/80). Identity and purity of 10 were confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, H[RM](#page-10-0)S, and TLC. Spectro[sco](#page-10-0)pic data did not duplicate literature<sup>51</sup> data. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.50 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 11.6 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.05 (s, 3H[\), 1](#page-10-0).00 (s, 3H), 0.89 (d,  $J = 6$  Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.68 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 174.9, 171.1, 134.6, 134.4, 81.1, 51.6, 50.6, 50.4, 50.0, 44.7, 38.0, 37.0, 36.2, 35.4, 31.41, 31.39, 31.1, 30.9, 28.2, 28.1, 26.5, 24.4, 24.3, 21.5, 21.1, 19.3, 18.4, 18.3, 16.7, 15.9. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{30}H_{48}O_4Na^+$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 495.34448, found 495.34456.

(R)-Methyl-4-((3S,5R,10S,13R,14R,17R)-3-acetoxy-4,4,10,13,14-pentamethyl-7-oxo-2,3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,- 13,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[a] **phenanthren-17-yl)pentanoate (11).** Mp: 200–203 °C (lit.<sup>51</sup> mp 255−258 °C).  $R_f = 0.28$  (EA/hex = 20/80).  $R_f = 0.39$  (EA/hex = 25/ 75). Identity of  $11$  was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and [HR](#page-10-0)MS. Purity of 11 was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and TLC. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data did<br>not duplicate literature<sup>51</sup> data. <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were not reported in chemical literature. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.52 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 11.6 Hz,  $J_2$  = 4.4 Hz, [1H](#page-10-0)), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H), 0.91 (m, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.65 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 198.8 (CO), 174.8 (CO), 171.0

(CO), 164.7 (C), 139.1 (C), 79.7 (CH), 51.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 50.0 (CH), 48.9  $(CH)$ , 47.9 (C), 45.1 (C), 39.8 (C), 37.9 (C), 36.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.1 (CH), 34.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.8  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 27.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 24.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 21.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 15.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ calcd for  $C_{30}H_{47}O_5^+$   $[M + H]^+$  487.34180, found 487.34188.

(R)-Methyl-4-((3S,5R,10S,13R,14R,17R)-3-acetoxy-4,4,10,13,14-pentamethyl-11-oxo-2,3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,- 13,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[a] phenanthren-17-yl)pentanoate (12). Mp:  $144-147$  °C (lit.<sup>57</sup> mp) 145−149 °C).  $R_f = 0.33$  (EA/hex = 20/80).  $R_f = 0.42$  (EA/hex = 25/ 75). Identity of  $12$  was confirmed by  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR and [HR](#page-10-0)MS. Purity of  $12$  was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, TLC, and mp. Spectroscopic data for 12 were not previously reported. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(600 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, \delta)$ : 4.49  $(\text{dd}, J_1 = 10.2 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H})$ , 3.65  $(s,$ 3H), 2.99 (ddd,  $J_1 = 13.8$  Hz,  $J_2 = J_3 = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.64 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (d,  $J = 16.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.80 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 199.1 (CO), 174.6 (CO), 171.1 (CO), 164.2 (C), 139.5 (C), 80.7 (CH), 52.0 (CH), 51.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.7  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 51.6 (C), 50.1 (CH), 47.4 (C), 38.0 (C), 37.6 (C), 35.8 (CH), 34.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.4  $(CH_3)$ , 27.0  $(CH_2)$ , 25.9  $(CH_3)$ , 24.3  $(CH_2)$ , 21.4  $(CH_3)$ , 19.1  $(CH_3)$ , 18.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 16.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ calcd for  $C_{30}H_{47}O_5^+$   $[M + H]^+$  487.3423, found 487.3426.

(R)-Methyl-4-((3S,5R,10S,13R,14R,17R)-3-acetoxy-4,4,10,13,14-pentamethyl-7,11-dioxo-2,3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17-yl)pentanoate (13). A 100 mL roundbottom flask open to atmosphere was charged with  $KMnO<sub>4</sub>$  (2.67 g, 16.92 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (4.47 g, 16.92 mmol), followed by the addition of 33.9 mL of water. A solution of 10 (1.6 g, 3.385 mmol) in 33.9 mL of DCM was then added to the resulting suspension by a quick syringe transfer. The flask was sealed with a glass stopper, and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at rt for 24 h, at which time the mixture was filtered over a fine sinter funnel and washed with DCM. Layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica to give 13 as a yellow solid (1.32 g, 78%). Mp: 142−145 °C (lit.<sup>51</sup> mp 178−179 °C, lit.<sup>58</sup> mp 141−143 °C).  $R_f =$ 0.25 (EA/hex = 20/80).  $R_f$  = 0.37 (EA/hex = 25/75). Identity of 13 was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>[H a](#page-10-0)nd <sup>13</sup>C NMR and [HR](#page-10-0)MS. Purity of 13 was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, TLC, and mp. <sup>13</sup>C NMR data was found to match literature<sup>51</sup> data. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data did not duplicate literature<sup>51,58</sup> data. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.51 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 11.2 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.65 ([s,](#page-10-0) 3H), 2.88 (ddd,  $J_1 = 13.6$  Hz,  $J_2 = J_3 = 3.6$  Hz, [1H\),](#page-10-0) 2.75 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.87 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 3H), 0.78 (s, 3H). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{30}H_{45}O_6^+$   $[M + H]^+$ 501.32107, found 501.32111.

(R)-Methyl-4-((1R,3aR,6aS,8S,10aS,13aR)-8-acetoxy-3a,7,7,10a,13a-pentamethyl-4,5,11,12-tetraoxohexadecahydro-1H-benzo[a]cyclopenta[f ][10]annulen-1-yl)pentanoate (14). Using modified literature protocol,  $54$  RuCl<sub>3</sub> (62 mg, 0.299) mmol) was added in one portion to the solution of NaIO<sub>4</sub> (539 mg, 2.52 mmol) in 18 mL of water, and th[e r](#page-10-0)esulting suspension was stirred open to atmosphere for 15 min, followed by the addition of 12 mL of acetonitrile. The solution of 13 (300 mg, 0.599 mmol) in 12 mL of  $\text{CCl}_4$  was then added to the reaction mixture by a quick syringe transfer. The flask was sealed with a glass stopper, and the resulting biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred at rt for 15 h, at which time the reaction was interrupted by the addition of 5 mL of ethanol. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica to give 14 as a yellow solid (278 mg, 87%). Mp: 140−143 °C. R<sub>f</sub>  $= 0.39$  (EA/hex = 25/75). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, toluene- $d_8$ , 273.15 K,  $δ$ ) major conformer: 4.82 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 11.4 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub> = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (d, *J*  $= 13.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 3.21 (dd,  $J_1 = J_2 = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.69 (ddd,  $J_1 = J_2 = 12.6$  Hz,  $J_3 = 6.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.75 (s, 3H), 0.71 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 3H), 0.64 (s, 3H). Minor conformer: 4.60 (dd,  $J_1 = 11.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.03 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 15.6 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.77 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, toluene-d<sub>8</sub>, 273.15 K, δ) major conformer: 208.7, 206.1, 205.1, 203.2, 173.7, 169.8, 79.6, 62.3, 55.1, 53.1, 51.5, 49.7, 48.2, 44.3, 38.9, 37.6, 36.3, 33.8, 31.7, 31.61, 31.59, 30.9, 28.6, 24.3, 23.9, 20.3, 20.2, 19.6, 17.9, 16.5. Minor conformer: 207.3, 207.1, 202.6, 201.3, 173.3, 169.2, 78.7, 60.6, 52.3, 50.98, 50.0, 49.4, 46.9, 41.4, 38.7, 35.6, 35.2, 34.8, 34.5, 30.7, 27.3, 24.4, 22.7, 19.64, 18.9, 17.8, 17.0, 16.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{30}H_{44}O_8Na^+$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 555.29284, found 555.29296.

Preparation of 15. Table 3 (entry 1). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 14 (0.0375 mmol) and 254 nm UV light for 20 h yielded 15 (9.6 mg, 48%).

Table 3 (entry 2). Following [th](#page-5-0)e general procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 14 (0.0375 mmol) and 300 nm UV light for 12 h yielded [1](#page-5-0)5 (5.3 mg, 27%).

Table 3 (entry 3). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 14 (0.0375 mmol), benzophenone (6.8 mg, 0.0375 mmol), and 254 nm UV light for 6 h yielded unreacted 14 (8.1 mg, 60% [c](#page-5-0)onversion) and 15 (8.6 mg, 72% brsm).

Table 3 (entry 4). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of tetraketone 14 (0.0375 mmol), benzophenone (6.8 mg, 0.0375 [mm](#page-5-0)ol), and 300 nm UV light for 3 h yielded 15 (13.1 mg, 66%).

(R)-Methyl-4-((1aS,4S,5aR,8aR,11R,11aR,12aR)-4-acetoxy-12a-hydroxy-1a,5,5,8a,11a-pentamethyl-1,7,8-trioxohexadecahydrobenzo[1,4]cyclobuta[1,2-a]cyclopenta[d][8] **annulen-11-yl)pentanoate (15).** Transparent oil.  $R_f = 0.25$  (EA/ hex = 25/75). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 5.65 (m, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.90 (d,  $J = 13.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.83 (br s, 1H), 2.60 (d,  $J = 13.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 213.3 (CO), 210.0 (CO), 209.5 (CO), 174.3 (CO), 171.3 (CO), 94.9 (C), 78.7 (CH), 63.4 (C), 59.5 (C), 56.2 (C), 53.9 (CH), 51.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 47.8 (C), 43.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 40.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 40.0 (C), 35.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 34.2 (CH), 31.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.7  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 21.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{30}H_{44}O_8N\ a^+$  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> 555.2934, found 555.2933.

Preparation of 17 and 18. In a 100 mL single-neck roundbottom flask,  $RuCl<sub>3</sub>$  (30 mg, 0.144 mmol) was added in one portion to a solution of NaIO<sub>4</sub> (646 mg, 3.02 mmol) in 22 mL of  $H_2O$ , and the resulting suspension was stirred open to atmosphere for 15 min, followed by the addition of 14.5 mL of acetonitrile. The solution of 11 (350 mg, 0.719 mmol) in 14.5 mL of  $CCl<sub>4</sub>$  was then added dropwise to the reaction mixture by a syringe pump. The flask was sealed with a glass stopper, and the resulting biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred for 6 h, at which time 3 mL of ethanol was added to the solution. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried over  $\rm Na_2SO_4$ , concentrated under vacuum, and the crude mixture of products was further separated by column chromatography on silica to yield tetraketone 14 as a yellow solid (24 mg, 6%),  $R_f = 0.39$  (EA/hex = 25/75), triketone 17 as a yellow oil (103 mg, 28%), and aldol adduct 18 as a white solid (238 mg, 64%).

(R)-Methyl 4-((1R,3aR,6aS,8S,10aS,13aR)-8-acetoxy-3a,7,7,10a,13a-pentamethyl-4,5,11-trioxohexadecahydro-1Hbenzo[a]cyclopenta[f][10]annulen-1-yl)pentanoate (17).  $R_f =$ 0.26 (EA/hex = 25/75). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.66 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>  $= 11.6$  Hz,  $J_2 = 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.81 (dd,  $J_1 = 16.4$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (s, 3H), 0.79 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ : 214.0, 209.2, 203.2, 174.4, 170.7, 79.4, 59.0, 53.4, 53.1, 52.2, 51.7, 50.4, 39.2, 36.2, 35.5, 34.3, 33.8, 33.2, 31.7, 30.8, 28.0, 26.1, 25.1, 23.4, 21.3, 20.3, 19.4, 17.7, 17.3, 16.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{30}H_{47}O_7^+$   $[M + H]^+$  519.33163, found 519.33173.

(R)-Methyl-4-((1R,3aR,4aR,5aS,7S,9aS,10aR,11aR)-7-acetoxy-4a-hydroxy-3a,6,6,9a,11a-pentamethyl-4,10-dioxohexadecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[b]anthracen-1-yl)pentanoate (18). Mp:

<span id="page-9-0"></span>260−264 °C. R<sub>f.</sub> = 0.15 (EA/hex = 25/75). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.48 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 11.4 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.10  $(dd, J_1 = 12.4 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 2.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.13 \text{ (d, } J = 13.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.04 \text{ (s, }$ 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.68 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 211.8 (CO), 211.6 (CO), 174.6 (CO), 171.0 (CO), 80.0 (CH), 79.2 (C), 59.3 (C), 51.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 50.2 (CH), 49.5 (CH), 47.9 (C), 47.3 (C), 45.5 (CH), 38.5 (C), 35.3 (CH), 31.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.6  $(CH_2)$ , 22.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{30}H_{46}O_7Na^+$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 541.31357, found 541.31373.

Preparation of 20. Table 4 (entry 1). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 17 (0.0386 mmol) and 254 nm UV light for 24 h yielded unreacted 17 (11.8 mg, 41% conversion) and 20 (3.6 mg, 44[%](#page-6-0) brsm).

Table 4 (entry 2). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 17 (0.0386 mmol) and 300 nm UV light for 24 h yielded u[n](#page-6-0)reacted 17 (6.3 mg, 69% conversion) and 20 (2.6 mg, 19% brsm).

Table 4 (entry 3). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 17 (0.0386 mmol), benzophenone (7 mg, 0.0386 mmol), and 300 nm UV light for 24 h yielded unreacted 17 (3.7 mg, 82% con[ve](#page-6-0)rsion) and 20 (5 mg, 31% brsm).

Table 4 (entry 4). Following the general procedure for photolyses, the use of triketone 17 (0.0386 mmol), benzophenone (7 mg, 0.0386 mmol), and 254 nm UV light for 24 h yielded unreacted 17 (9 mg, 55% con[ve](#page-6-0)rsion) and 20 (4.3 mg, 39% brsm).

(R)-Methyl-4-((1R,3aR,5aR,6aS,8S,10aS,10bS,12aR)-8-acetoxy-10b-hydroxy-7,7,10a,12a-tetramethyl-13-oxotetradecahydro-1H-3a,5a-methanocyclopenta[f ]indeno[2,1-b] **oxocin-1-yl)pentanoate (20).** Transparent oil.  $R_f = 0.23$  (EA/hex = 25/75). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 4.56 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 11.9 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 1H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 209.9 (CO), 174.5 (CO), 171.1 (CO), 89.5 (C), 80.89 (CH), 80.88 (C), 74.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 61.4 (C), 53.5 (CH), 51.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 49.9 (C), 49.2 (CH), 49.1 (C), 37.0 (C), 34.8 (CH), 31.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.4  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 26.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 21.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 15.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 15.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> 541.3141, found 541.3142.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **S** Supporting Information

General experimental details, complete ref 59, conformational analyses of 14 and 17,  $^{13}$ C VT NMR of 14, atomic coordinates for the optimized geometry for 1, 5, 14, 17; copies of  ${}^{1}H$  and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of all new compounds, as well as all known compounds that were prepared by new or modified synthetic protocols; copies of HMQC, HMBC, COSY, and NOESY NMR spectra, as well as tables of  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  peak assignments and HMQC correlations of 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20; key COSY, HMBC, and NOESY correlations of compounds 11, 12, 15, 18, 20. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## **E** AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: tochtrop@case.edu.

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:tochtrop@case.edu)peting financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the National Cancer Institute CA157735 and CA132168, NSF Grant MCB-084480,

Reuter Foundation, and Landon Foundation INNOVATOR award from AACR to G.P.T.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) Connolly, J. D.; Hill, R. A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 79.
- (2) Xu, R.; Fazio, G. C.; Matsuda, S. P. T. Phytochemistry 2004, 65, 261.

(3) Degenhardt, J.; Köllner, T. G.; Gershenzon, J. Phytochemistry 2009, 70, 1621.

(4) Onishi, J.; Meinz, M.; Thompson, J.; Curotto, J.; Dreikorn, S.; Rosenbach, M.; Douglas, C.; Abruzzo, G.; Flattery, A.; Kong, L.; Cabello, A.; Vicente, F.; Pelaez, F.; Diez, M. T.; Martin, I.; Bills, G.; Giacobbe, R.; Dombrowski, A.; Schwartz, R.; Morris, S.; Harris, G.; Tsipouras, A.; Wilson, K.; Kurtz, M. B. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2000, 44, 368.

(5) Gatbonton-Schwager, T. N.; Letterio, J. J.; Tochtrop, G. P. J. Nat. Prod. 2012, 75, 591.

(6) Liby, K. T.; Yore, M. M.; Sporn, M. B. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2007, 7, 357.

(7) Petronelli, A.; Pannitteri, G.; Testa, U. Anti-Cancer Drugs 2009, 20, 880.

(8) Zhou, W. B.; Tao, J. Y.; Xu, H. M.; Chen, K. L.; Zeng, G. Z.; Ji, C. J.; Zhang, Y. M.; Tan, N. H. Z. Naturforsch., B: J. Chem. Sci. 2010, 65, 1393.

(9) Wolska, K. I.; Grudniak, A. M.; Fiecek, B.; Kraczkiewicz-Dowjat, A.; Kurek, A. Cent. Eur. J. Biol. 2010, 5, 543.

(10) Thoma, R.; Schulz-Gasch, T.; D'Arcy, B.; Benz, J.; Aebi, J.; Dehmlow, H.; Hennig, M.; Stihle, M.; Ruf, A. Nature 2004, 432, 118.

(11) Wang, C.-Q.; Wang, L.; Fan, C.-L.; Zhang, D.-M.; Huang, X.-J.; Jiang, R.-W.; Bai, L.-L.; Shi, J.-M.; Wang, Y.; Ye, W.-C. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4102.

(12) Meng, F.-Y.; Sun, J.-X.; Li, X.; Yu, H.-Y.; Li, S.-M.; Ruan, H.-L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1502.

(13) Wang, C.-F.; Liu, J.-Q.; Yan, Y.-X.; Chen, J.-C.; Lu, Y.; Guo, Y.- H.; Qiu, M.-H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1656.

(14) Sporn, M. B.; Liby, K. T.; Yore, M. M.; Fu, L.; Lopchuk, J. M.; Gribble, G. W. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 537.

(15) Honda, T.; Rounds, B. V.; Gribble, G. W.; Suh, N.; Wang, Y.; Sporn, M. B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 2711.

(16) Honda, T.; Rounds, B. V.; Bore, L.; Finlay, H. J.; Favaloro, F. G., Jr.; Suh, N.; Wang, Y.; Sporn, M. B.; Gribble, G. W. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 4233.

(17) Kumar, N.; Kiuchi, M.; Tallarico, J. A.; Schreiber, S. L. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2535.

(18) Ignatenko, V. A.; Han, Y.; Tochtrop, G. P. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 410.

(19) Hoffmann, N. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1052.

(20) Bach, T.; Hehn, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1000.

(21) Hehn, J. P.; Herdtweck, E.; Bach, T. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1892.

(22) Mukhina, O. A.; Bhuvan, K. N. N.; Arisco, T. M.; Valiulin, R. A.;

Metzel, G. A.; Kutateladze, A. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9423. (23) de la Torre, M. C.; Garcia, I.; Sierra, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 6611.

- (24) Norrish, R. G. W. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1937, 33, 1521.
- (25) Yang, N. C.; Yang, D.-D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 2913.

(26) Urry, W. H.; Trecker, D. J.; Winey, D. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1962, 3, 609.

(27) Olovsson, G.; Scheffer, J. R.; Trotter, J.; Wu, C.-H. Tetrahedron

(28) Urry, W. H.; Trecker, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 118.

(29) Hamer, N. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2167.

(30) Obayashi, M.; Mizuta, E.; Noguchi, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1979, 27, 1679.

(31) Herrera, A. J.; Rondon, M.; Suarez, E. Synlett 2007, 1851.

(32) Herrera, A. J.; Rondon, M.; Suarez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73,

3384. (33) Kamijo, S.; Hoshikawa, T.; Inoue, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51,

Lett. 1997, 38, 6549.

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>(34) Kamernitskii, A. V.; Ignatov, V. N.; Levina, I. S. Usp. Khim. 1988, 57, 474.
- (35) Schreiber, S. L. Science 2000, 287, 1964.
- (36) Burke, M. D.; Schreiber, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 46.
- (37) Scheffer, J. R.; Garcia-Garibay, M.; Nalamasu, O. Org. Photochem. 1987, 8, 249.
- (38) Gudmundsdottir, A. D.; Lewis, T. J.; Randall, L. H.; Scheffer, J. R.; Rettig, S. J.; Trotter, J.; Wu, C.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6167.
- (39) Ihmels, H.; Scheffer, J. R. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 885.
- (40) Horspool, W.; Armesto, D. Organic Photochemistry: A Comprehensive Treatment; Paramount Publishers: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1993.
- (41) Mori, T.; Yang, K. H.; Kimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 2419.
- (42) Rubin, M. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 4615.
- (43) Netto-Ferreira, J. C.; Lopes da Silva, E. S.; Camara de Lucas, N. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A 2011, 225, 135.
- (44) Dalgard, J. E.; Rychnovsky, S. D. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2713.
- (45) Giese, B.; Wettstein, P.; Stahelin, C.; Barbosa, F.; Neuburger, M.; Zehnder, M.; Wessig, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2586.
- (46) Griesbeck, A. G.; Mauder, H.; Stadtmueller, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1994, 27, 70.
- (47) Sinicropi, A.; Barbosa, F.; Basosi, R.; Giese, B.; Olivucci, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2390.
- (48) Turro, N. J.; Lechtken, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 565.
- (49) Srinivasan, R.; Brown, K. H.; Ors, J. A.; White, L. S.; Adam, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7424.
- (50) Maheshwari, K. K.; De Mayo, P.; Wiegand, D. Can. J. Chem. 1970, 48, 3265.
- (51) Reshetova, I. G.; Tkhaper, R. K.; Kamernitskii, A. V.; Bogdanov, V. S. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1990, 687.
- (52) Carlsen, P. H. J.; Katsuki, T.; Martin, V. S.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3936.
- (53) Castellano, E. E.; Zukerman-Schpector, J.; Rehder, V. L. G.; Marsaioli, A. J. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1989, C45, 966.
- (54) Fujiwara, F. Y.; Rehder, V. G.; Marsaioli, A. J. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1992, 30, 500.
- (55) Emmons, G. T.; Wilson, W. K.; Schroepfer, G. J., Jr. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1989, 27, 1012.
- (56) Corey, E. J.; Yamamoto, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 11, 2385.
- (57) Woodward, R. B.; Patchett, A. A.; Barton, D. H. R.; Ives, D. A. J.; Kelly, R. B. J. Chem. Soc. 1957, 1131.
- (58) Rodewald, W. J.; Jagodzinski, J. J. Pol. J. Chem. 1979, 53, 1203. (59) All computational work described herein was carried out using Gaussian 09, revision A.02 (see Supporting Information for full reference).